



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/27/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/643,059	08/18/2003	Moshe Olim	S01.12-0983/STL 11297	4177
27365	7590 03/27/2006		EXAMINER	
SEAGATE	TECHNOLOGY LLC C/	RAO, SHEELA S		
	& KELLY, P.A.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1400	<ul> <li>INTERNATIONAL CEN</li> </ul>	ARTONII	TAI EX NOMBER	
900 SECONI	D AVENUE SOUTH	2125		
MINNEAPO	LIS, MN 55402-3319	D. TT. M. H. FD. 02 PT P000		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		App	olication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			643,059	OLIM ET AL.				
			miner	Art Unit				
		She	ela Rao	2125				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	dress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Nations of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this component of the provision of the provision of the maximum of the provision of the provis	MAILING DATE ( s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). I munication. tatutory period will apply will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUI in no event, however, may y and will expire SIX (6) M the application to become	NICATION. If a reply be timely filed  IONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 18 August	2003.					
2a)□	•	2b)⊠ This actio		•				
3)								
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	on of Claims				•			
4)⊠	1)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the application.							
ŕ	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)								
6)□								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•						
8)🖂	Claim(s) 1-42 are subject to restrict	on and/or electi	on requirement.	,				
Applicati	on Papers		·					
9)□	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
•			or b) objected	to by the Examiner.				
,	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including			•	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	-			• •			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	·						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign prior	ity under 35 U.S.C	: 8 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
-	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
/.	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents hav	e been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority			Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies			· ·	Stage			
	application from the Internation							
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	•		ot received.				
			, , , , ,					
				•				
Attachmen	• •		□	0				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)		w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Infori	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date			of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

## Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-26, drawn to the method of processing a head slider having a bearing surface;
     wherein, material stress patterns are selected, is classified in class 29, subclass
     603.1/603.12.
  - II. Claims 27-42, drawn to a method of characterizing the topological shape of a surface; wherein, height measurement data is produced, is classified in class 700, subclass 117.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the limitations of invention I are directed to the selection of material stress patterns while invention II calls for the measuring of heights along the surface. The subcombination has separate utility since neither of the inventions is directly dependent upon one another. The characterization of the surface of a shape can be carried through without the processing of the head slider.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Additionally, because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,059

Art Unit: 2125

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) of the other invention.

- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheela Rao whose telephone number is (571) 272-3751. The examiner can normally be reached Monday Friday from 8:30 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard, can be reached on (571) 272-3749. The fax number for the organization where this application or any proceeding papers is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application
Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from
either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. It should be noted that status information for unpublished
applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see
<a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should any questions arise regarding access to the Private PAIR system,
contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sheela S. Rao March 16, 2006 ALBERT W. PALADINI PRIMARY EXAMINER